arXiv:1212.1532v2 [math.DS] 31 Jan 2013

UNIVERSAL MINIMAL FLOW IN THE LANGUAGE OF NEAR FILTERS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

DANA BARTOŠOVÁ

Abstract. We describe the greatest ambit and the universal minimal flow as spaces of near ultrafilters. We translate other notions of topological dynamics into this language and show how this approach simplifies some known proofs. We provide a simple proof that groups of isometries of generalized Urysohn spaces are extremely amenable without use of concentration of measure phenomena and we give a partial answer to Pestov's conjecture to the problem of Ellis.

1. Introduction

The central notion of topological dynamics is a continous action $\pi : G \times X \longrightarrow X$ of a topological group G on a compact Hausdorff space X. We call X a *G-flow* and omit π if it is understood and write gx instead of $\pi(g, x)$. A *homomorphism* of G-flows X and Y is a continuous map $\phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ respecting the actions of G on X and Y, i.e. $\phi(gx) = g\phi(x)$ for every $g \in G$, $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. We say that Y is a *factor* of X, if there is a homomorphism from X onto Y. Every G-flow has a minimal subflow, a minimal closed subspace of X invariant under the action of G. Among all minimal G-flows, there is a maximal one - the *universal minimal flow* $M(G)$. It means that every other minimal G-flow is a factor of $M(G)$. In the study of universal minimal flows, a construction of the greatest ambit turns out to be useful. An *ambit* is a G-flow X with a distinguished point $x_0 \in X$ whose orbit $Gx_0 = \{gx_0 : g \in G\}$ is dense in X. Likewise for minimal flows, there is a maximal ambit - the *greatest ambit* $(S(G), e)$. It means that every other ambit (X, x_0) is a factor of $S(G)$ via a quotient mapping sending e to x_0. As we say below, the greatest ambit is the Samuel compactification of G with a structure of a right-topological semigroup and the universal minimal flow is a isomorphic to any minimal left ideal of $S(G)$. The study of $S(G)$ shows that every homomorphisms of $M(G)$ into itself is an isomorphism, which in turn gives that the universal minimal flow is unique up to an isomorphism. For introduction to topological dynamics see [dV93].

In this paper, we combine Balcar and Franěk's description of the universal minimal flow for discrete groups with Kocak and Strauss' notion of near ultrafilters to describe the Samuel compactifiation and the universal minimal flow of any toplogical groups as spaces of near ultrafilters. We reformulate extreme amenability in this language and use it give a simple proof that the group of isometries of any generalized Urysohn space is extremely amenable.Finally, we apply results of Hindman and Strauss about algebra in Čech-Stone compactification to confirm Pestov conjecture to a problem of Ellis in the case of discrete and countable topological groups.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B05,03E02,05D10,22F50,54H20.

2. SPEAKING THE LANGUAGE OF FILTERS AND NEAR ULTRAFILTERS

2.1. **Stone spaces.** In 1936, M.H. Stone [Sto36] proved that every Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of all clopen subsets of a compact totally disconnected Hausdorff space $\mathrm{Ult}(\mathcal{B})$. The points of $\mathrm{Ult}(\mathcal{B})$ are all ultrafilters on $\mathcal{B}$ and the sets $A^* = \{u \in \mathrm{Ult}(\mathcal{B}) : A \in u\}$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}$ form a clopen base of the topology on $\mathrm{Ult}(\mathcal{B})$. This gives a one-to-one correspondence that also extends to homomorphisms: If $f : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a homomorphism between two Boolean algebras, then $\mathrm{Ult}(f) : \mathrm{Ult}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ult}(\mathcal{B})$ given by

$$u \mapsto \text{“the ultrafilter on } \mathcal{B} \text{ generated by } \bigcup\{f^{-1}(A) : A \in u\}\text{”}$$

is a continuous map. If f is injective, then $\mathrm{Ult}(f)$ is surjective. In terms of category theory, Ult is a contravariant functor giving an equivalence between the category of Boolean algebras with homomorphisms and the category of compact totally disconnected Hausdorff spaces with continuous mappings.

2.2. **The greatest ambit.** It is a well-known fact that the greatest ambit for a discrete group G is the space of all ultrafilters $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$ on G with the topology generated by clopen sets $A^* = \{u \in \mathrm{Ult}(G) : A \in u\}$ for $A \subset G$. It means that $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$ is the Stone space of the power set algbra $\mathcal{P}(G)$ of G. Extending the multiplication $\cdot$ on G to $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$, we can turn $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$ into a right-topological semigroup: For $u, v \in \mathrm{Ult}(G)$, define

$$u \cdot v = \{A \subset G : \{g \in G : g^{-1}A \in v\} \in u\}.$$

In other words, $uv = u - \lim\{gv : g \in G\}$. These semigroups have been widely studied by Neil Hindman and Dona Strauss. For a comprehensive treatement see [HS98].

If G is a topological group, then the greatest ambit of G is a factor of $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$ by definition. It has first been described by Samuel in 1948 (see [Sam48]) in the setting of uniform spaces. We shortly remind his construction for topological groups with the right uniformity: Let G be a topological group with the neutral element e and $\mathcal{N}$ a basis of neighbourhoods of e giving the topology of G. The *right uniformity* on G is generated by covers $\{Va : a \in G\}$ for $V \in \mathcal{N}$. We define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$ as follows: For an ultrafilter $u \in \mathrm{Ult}(G)$, we define a filter u^* to be generated by $\{VA : A \in u, V \in \mathcal{N}\}$. Then for $u, v \in \mathrm{Ult}(G)$ we set $u \sim v$ if and only if $u^* = v^*$. The quotient space $S(G) = \mathrm{Ult}(G)/\sim$ with the quotient topology is called the Samuel compactification of G and it is the greatest ambit of G. The multiplication on $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$ also factors to $S(G)$, making $S(G)$ a right-topological semigroup with the multiplication extending the multiplication on G.

In [KS] Koçak and Strauss introduced the notion of a near ultrafilter and provided an alternative description of the Samuel compactification in the setting of uniform spaces. Explicit description for topological groups appeared soon after in [KA97].

Definition 1. *A family u of subsets of G has the* near finite intersection property *if for every $A, B \in u$ and $V \in \mathcal{N}$ we have*

$$VA \cap VB \neq \emptyset.$$

u is called a near ultrafilter*, if it is a maximal collection with the near finite intersection property with respect to inclusion.*

Let $\tilde{G}$ denote the space of all near ultrafilters with the base for closed sets given by $\tilde{A} = \{u \in \tilde{G} : A \in u\}$ for $A \subset G$.

Similarly as the Čech-Stone compactification can be described as ultrafilters of zero sets, the Samuel compactification can be described as the space of all near ultrafilters.

Theorem 1 ([KA97])**.** *Let G be a topological group. Then $\tilde{G}$ is the greatest ambit of G.*

2.3. **The universal minimal flow.** In [BF97], the authors described the universal minimal flow of a discrete group G as the Stone space of a certain Boolean algebra $B(G)$ of syndetic subsets of G.

Definition 2. *A subset S of G is called* syndetic *if there exist finitely many $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} g_i S = G$.*

For a G-flow X, a nonempty open subset O of X and a point $x \in X$, denote by $\mathrm{Ret}(x, O)$ the set of elements of G that bring x into O. Formally,

$$\mathrm{Ret}(x, O) = \{g \in G : gx \in O\}.$$

Lemma 1. *The following are equivalent for a G-flow X*

(i) *X is minimal,*
(ii) *for every non-empty open set $O \subset X$, $\bigcup_{g \in G} gO = X$,*
(iii) *for every $x \in X$ and non-empty open set $O \subset X$, the set $\mathrm{Ret}(x, O)$ is syndetic.*

An algebra $\mathcal{B}$ of subsets of G is called *syndetic* if every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is syndetic and for every $g \in G$ also $gB \in \mathcal{B}$, i.e. $\mathcal{B}$ is closed under left translations.

Theorem 2 ([BF97])**.** *Let G be a discrete group and let $B(G)$ be a maximal syndetic subalgebra of the power set algebra of G with respect to inclusion. Then the universal minimal flow $M(G)$ of G is the Stone space on $B(G)$, $\mathrm{Ult}(B(G))$.*

Now, let (G, τ) be a topological group and let $\mathcal{N}$ be a basis of neighbourhoods of the neutral element of G. As in the case of Samuel compactifiaction, for an ultrafilter u on $B(G)$, denote by u^* the filter on $\mathcal{P}(G)$ generated by $\{VA : V \in \mathcal{N}, A \in u\}$. We say that two ultrafilters $u, v \in \mathrm{Ult}(B(G))$ are equivalent, $u \sim v$, if and only if $u^* = v^*$.

Theorem 3. *Let $M(G, \tau)$ be the quotient of $M(G) = \mathrm{Ult}(B(G))$ by the equivalence relation $\sim$. Then $M(G, \tau)$ is the universal minimal flow for (G, τ).*

Proof. For every $m \in M(G)$, the right translation $R_m : \mathrm{Ult}(G) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ult}(G), u \mapsto um$ has image $M(G)$. The induced dual map $\rho_m : B(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$ is an embedding between Boolean alegbras. It follows that every point $u \in \mathrm{Ult}(B(G))$ can be viewed as a closed subset of $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$ given by the filter on the power set algebra $\mathcal{P}(G)$ generated by u. ☐

We can see thta if $u^* = v^*$ then u and v are subsets of the same near ultrafilter on $B(G)$. Hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *The universal minimal flow for a topological group G is the space of near ultrafilters on $B(G)$.*

3. Dynamical properties

Definition 3 (Extreme amenability). *A topological group G is called* extremely amenable *if the universal minimal flow of G is a single point.*

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2. *A topological group G is extremely amenable if and only if whenever $A, B \subset G$ belong to one syndetic algebra of subsets of G and V is an open neighbourhood of the neutral element in G then $VA \cap VB \neq \emptyset$.*

Corollary 3. *A topological group G is extremely amenable if and only if whenever A belongs to a syndetic algebra of subsets of G and V is an open neighbourhood of the neutral element in G then $VA = G$.*

Definition 4 (Effective and free action). *A topological group G acts* effectively *on a space X if for every $g \in G$ there is $x \in X$ such that $gx \neq x$. In other words, the homeomorphism of X given by g is not the identity. G acts* freely *on X if for every $g \in G$ and $x \in X$ we have $gx \neq x$. It means that all homeomorphisms of X given by elements of G are fixed-point-free.*

Corollary 4. *A topological group G acts effectively on its greatest ambit if and only if for every $g \in G$ there exist $A \subset G$ and V a neighbourhood of the neutral element such that $VA \cap gA = \emptyset$.*

Corollary 5. *A topological group G acts freely on its greatest ambit if and only if for every $g \in G$ and every $A \subset G$ there exists $B \subset A$ and a nighbourhood of the neutral element V such that $VB \cap gB = \emptyset$.*

4. Generalized Urysohn spaces

Pestov proved in [Pes02] that groups of isometries of generalized Urysohn spaces with the compact-open topology are extremely amenable using concentration of measure phenomena. We give a simple proof of this result based on the Ramsey property for finite linearly ordered metric spaces. We also construct ω-homogeneous generalized Urysohn spaces of every infinite cardinality.

In [Ury25], [Ury27] Urysohn constructed a complete separable metric space $\mathbb{U}$ that contains a copy of every separable metric space and that is ω-homogeneous with respect to isometries, i.e. whenever M_1, M_2 are finite subspaces of $\mathbb{U}$ and $\phi : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$ is an isometry, there is an isometry ϕ' of $\mathbb{U}$ extending ϕ. He also showed that such a space is unique up to an isometry.

Sirpiński [Sie40] constructed a universal metric space for metric spaces of cardinality $\leq \kappa$ whenever $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$ and showed its uniquenes. After that, Urysohn space had been forgotten until Katětov's new construction and generalization to uncountable wieght in [Kat88], which revived interest in the original Urysohn space. After Uspenskij proved in [Ury27] that the group of isometries $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U})$ of the Urysohn space $\mathbb{U}$ is universal for all topological groups of countable weight, the Urysohn space and its group of isometries grew popular among topological dynamists. See for instance works of Pestov ([Pes07]), Mbombo and Pestov ([MP12]), Van Thé and López-Abad ([LANVT08]) and Melleray ([Mit70], [Mel10]).

The topology on $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U})$ is given by a basis of open neighbourhoods of the identity of the following form:

$$N(\varepsilon, X) = \{\phi \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{U}) : d(x, \phi(x)) < \varepsilon \ \forall x \in X\},$$

where d is the metric on $\mathbb{U}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and X a finite subspace of $\mathbb{U}$.

In answer to a question of Kechris, Pestov and Todorcevic in [KPT05], Nešetřil varified in [Neš07] that the class of finite metric spaces with arbitrary linear orderings satisfies the Ramsey property. It means that for every pair of finite linearly ordered metric spaces X, Y such that X is a subspace of Y, there exists a finite linearly ordered metric space Z such that for every colouring of copies of X in Z, there exists a copy of Y in Z in which all copies of X take the same colour.

We say that a metric space (U, d) is a *generalized Urysohn space* if it is universal for all finite metric spaces and every isometry between two finite subspaces of U has an extension to a full isometry of U.

Theorem 4 ([Pes02]). *Let* (U, d) *be a generalized Urysohn space, then* $\mathrm{Iso}(U, d)$ *is extremely amenable.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a syndetic algebra of subsets of $G = \mathrm{Iso}(U, d)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ and let $V = V(\varepsilon, X)$ be a basic open neihgbourhood of the identity for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and X a finite subspace of U. We need to show that $VA \cap VB \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that there is $x \in X$ such that for every $y \neq z$ in X, $d(x, y) \neq d(x, z)$. If there is no $x \in X$ satisfying this requirement, we can pass from X to $X' = X \cup \{x\}$ with $x \in U \setminus X$ by universality and ω-homogeneity of U. Then $V(\varepsilon, X') \subset V(\varepsilon, X)$, so if $V(\varepsilon, X')A \cap V(\varepsilon, X')B \neq \emptyset$, then certainly $V(\varepsilon, X)A \cap V(\varepsilon, X)B \neq \emptyset$. Notice that, this assumption ensures that $\mathrm{Iso}(X)$ is trivial and for ε small enough (for instance set $\varepsilon < \min\{\mathrm{d(x,y), x \neq y, x, y \in X}\}$)

$$V((\varepsilon), X) = \{g \in \mathrm{Iso}(U) : gX \in (X)_\varepsilon\} = V(\varepsilon, X).$$

Let $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ witness syndeticity of both VA and VB :

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} g_i VA = G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} g_i VB.$$

Let $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} g_i X$ and $Y_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{i} g_i X$ We will show that we can replace g_i by f_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ so that f_i's still witness that VA and VB are syndetic and $f_i X \cap f_j X = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$: Since $\mathrm{Iso}(X)$ is trivial, we can identify VA with those copies X' of X in U such that there exists $g \in A$ with $gX' \in (X)_\varepsilon$. Similarly, $g_i VA$ can be identifies with those copies of X' of X in U such that $gX' \subset (g_i X)_\varepsilon$ for some $g \in A$. Suppose that $i \leq n$ is the first index such that $Y_i \cap g_{i+1} X = S \neq \emptyset$. We will find a copy of X within $\varepsilon/2$-distance from $g_{i+1}X$ that is disjoint from Y_i. Let

$$\varepsilon' = \min\{\varepsilon/2, \max\{\mathrm{d(x,y) : x \in Y_i \setminus S, y \in g_{i+1}X}\}\}.$$

By universality for finite metric spaces and ω-homogeneity of U, there is a copy X' of X in the ε'-neighbourhood of $g_i X$ which is disjoint from $g_i X$ and by choice of ε' also disjoint from Y_i. Let f be the isometry between X and X' (by rigidity of X there is only one). Set f_{i+1} to be an extension of f to U. In the same manner we can continue for the remaining $g_{i+2}, \ldots, g_n$ with g_{i+1} replaced by f_{i+1}.

Let $<$ be an arbitrary linear ordering on X. Since $f_i X \cap f_j X = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$, there is a linear ordering $<'$ on $Y' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f_i X$ extending $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} f_i \times f_i(<)$. Let $\prec$ be an arbitrary linear ordering on U extending $<'$. We are now ready to use the Ramsey property for finite linearly ordered metric spaces.

Identify now VA with those copies X' of X in U such that there is $h \in VA$ with $hX' = X$, i.e. there exists a copy X'' of X and $g \in A$ with $gX'' = X$ and

$\min\{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}',\mathrm{x}''):\mathrm{x}'\in\mathrm{X}',\mathrm{x}''\in\mathrm{X}''\}<\varepsilon$. So f_iVA corresponds to all those copies X' of X such that there is $h\in VA$ with $gX'=f_iX$. Similarly for VB and f_iVB

Colour copies of $(X,<)$ in $(U,\prec)$ by 0 if they belong to VA, by 1 if they belong to VB and by 2 otherwise. By the Ramsey property, there is a copy $(Y'',<'')$ of $(Y',<')$ in $(U,\prec)$ that is monochromatic in a colour $r\in\{0,1,2\}$. Let $h:(Y'',<'')\longrightarrow(Y',<')$ be the isometry and let h' be its arbitrary extension to U. If $r=0$, then $h'\notin\bigcup_{i=1}^n f_iVB$, if $r=1$ then $h'\notin\bigcup_{i=1}^n f_iVA$ and if $r=2$ then both $h'\notin\bigcup_{i=1}^n f_iVA$ and $h'\notin\bigcup_{i=1}^n f_iVB$. However, we chose f_i, $i=1,2,\dots,n$ to witness syndeticity of both VA and VB which is a contradiction. □

5. Pestov's conjecture to the problem of Ellis

Let $\pi:G\times X\longrightarrow X$ be a flow. Let π_g denote the homeomorphisms of X given by g, i.e. $\pi_g(x)=\pi(g,x)$. The closure of $\{\pi_g:g\in G\}$ in X^X with the product topology is called the *Ellis enveloping semigroup of X,* denoted by $E(X)$. The group G acts naturally on $E(X)$ via

$$f\overset{g}{\mapsto}\pi_g\circ f,$$

turning $E(X)$ into a G-flow with the orbit of the identity map dense in $E(X)$. Therefore $(E(X),\mathrm{Id}_X)$ is an ambit.

$E(X)$ was defined and studied by Ellis in [Ell69], who showed that it mirrors many important dynamical properties of G. Among others he showed the following.

Theorem 5. *$E(X)$ is the largest compactification of G whose points are separated by homomorphisms to X.*

Later, the greatest ambit of G was used to study dynamics of G in place of the Ellis enveloping semigroup of the universal minimal flow. By the definition of the greatest ambit, there is a canonical quotient mapping $h:S(G)\longrightarrow E(M(G))$ sending e to Id_X. Ellis naturally asked:

Question 1 (Ellis, see [Pes98b])**.** *Is h an isomorphism?*

In the light of Theorem 5, we can reformulate Ellis' problem as follows.

Theorem 6 ([Pes98a])**.** *For a topological group G, the greatest ambit $S(G)$ is canonically isomorphic to the eneveloping semigroup of the universal minimal flow $E(M(G))$ if and only if the points of $S(G)$ are separated by G-homomorphisms to $M(G)$.*

Thus, it is useful to know how G-homomorphisms from $S(G)$ to $M(G)$ look like and what the structure of $M(G)$ as a minimal left ideal of $S(G)$ is.

Proposition 1. *For every G-homomorphism ϕ of $S(G)$ to itself, there is $u\in S(G)$ such that $\phi(v)=vu$ for every $v\in S(G)$.*

Since $M(G)$ is a left ideal of $S(G)$, it follows that every G-homomorphism from $S(G)$ is a right translation by some element from $M(G)$. Moreover, every automorphism of $M(G)$ is of this form.

Exploring the structure of $M(G)$ as a right-topological semigroup, we realize that we need not consider all elements of $M(G)$ to check which points of $S(G)$ are separated by homomorphisms to $M(G)$.

Since $S(G)$ and $M(G)$ are compact right-topological semigroups, the following lemma ensures that there are idempotents in $M(G)$, where m is an *idempotent* if $mm=m$.

Lemma 2 (Namakura). *If $(S, \cdot)$ is a compact right-topological semigroup, then there exists an idempotent in S.*

If a semigroup S contains an idempotent, then its minimal left ideals can be broken into subgroups of S that are maximal with respect to having an idempotent as its neutral element.

Definition 5. *A semingroup S is a left zero semigroup of for every $s, r \in S$ it holds that $rs = r$.*

Proposition 2. *Let S be a semigroup containing an idempotent. If M is a minimal left ideal of S, then the set of all idempotents $I(M)$ in M is nonempty and forms a left zero semigroup.*

Morever, for every $e \in I(M)$ there is a maximal subgroup H_e of S containing e as its neutral element. If $e, f \in I(M)$, then H_e and H_f are isomorphic via $s \mapsto fs$.

The group H_e is the intersection of the minimal left ideal Se and the minimal right ideal eS, hence $H_e = eSe$. It follows from the proposition above that M is isomorphic to $H \times Z$ where H is a group and Z is a left zero semigroup.

Observation 1. *Let S be a semigroup containing and idempotent and let M be a minimal left ideal in S. Let $r \in M$ and let $e \in M$ be the idempotent such that $r \in H_e$. Then $\cdot r : S \longrightarrow M$ and $\cdot e : S \longrightarrow M$ separate the same points of S.*

Proof. Consider $r \in M$ and let e be the idempotent in M such that $r \in H_e$. In particular, we have that $re = r = er$. Then for $x, y \in S$ it holds that $xr = yr$ if and only if $xer = yer$ if and only if $xe = ye$, since the right translation by r is an automorphism of $M(G)$. Therefore, right multiplication by $r \in H_e$ separates exactly the same points as right multiplication by e. □

In [Gla98], Glasner showed that the discrete group $\mathbb{Z}$ provides a negative answer to Ellis' problem.

If a topological group is precompact, then $M(G) = S(G)$ and consequently the canonical homomorphism h from $S(G)$ onto $E(M(G))$ is an isomorphism. In [Pes98b], Pestov conjectured that this characterizes precompact groups. A topological group is called *precompact* if it can be covered by finitely many left translates (respectively finitely many right translates) of any open neighbourhood of the neutral element.

We show that Pestov's conjecture is true for all discrete groups and all countable groups. In order to do that, we introduce a notion of "bigness" other than syndeticity.

Definition 6. *A subset A of G is called* thick*, if the family $\{gA : g \in G\}$ has the finite intersection property.*

Lemma 3. *A set $A \subset G$ is syndetic if and only if it intersects every thick set if and only if $G \setminus A$ is not thick.*

Lemma 4. *Every infinite group G has a thick subset A such that $G \setminus A$ is thick as well.*

Theorem 7. *Pestov's conjecture is true for all discrete groups.*

Proof. Let G be an infinite discrete group. Let $M(G)$ be a minimal left ideal of $S(G)$ with $B(G)$ the algebr of clopen subsets of $M(G)$. Let e be an idempotent in $M(G)$ and let $\rho_e : B(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(G)$ be the homomorphism dual to the right translation by e from $\mathrm{Ult}(G) \longrightarrow M(G)$. Then $ue = \rho_e^{-1}(\mathcal{P}(G) \cap \rho_e B(G))$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the union of all syndetic subalgebras of $\mathcal{P}(G)$. Then homomorphisms of $S(G)$ into $M(G)$ separate points of $S(G)$ if and only if the algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$ is equal to $\mathcal{P}(G)$.

Whenever $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then also $G \setminus A \in \mathcal{A}$, which means that A is not thick. Let now $T \subset G$ be thick such that $G \setminus T$ is also thick. Then T will be in the subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$ if it is either a finite union or finite intersection of some of its memebers. However, since no element of $\mathcal{A}$ distinct from G is thick, it cannot contain a thick set and if T is obtained as a union, then $G \setminus T$ needs to be an intersection of complements, which is a contradiction. □

Suppose now that G is a topological group with group topology τ and $S(G, \tau)$ is canonically isomorphic to $E(M(G))$. For every $m \in M(G)$ we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ult}(G) \arrow[r, "\cdot m"] \arrow[d, "\sim"'] & M(G) \arrow[d, "\sim"] \\
S(G,\tau) \arrow[r, "\cdot m^*"] & M(G,\tau)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Suppose that points of $S(G, \tau)$ are separated by homomorphisms to $M(G, \tau)$. Let $u, v \in \mathrm{Ult}(G)$ be such that for every $m \in M(G)$ we have $um = vm$. Then it needs to hold that $u \sim v$. It follows that for every $T, T' \subset G$ thick and every V a neighbourhood of the neutral element in G, $VT \cap VT' \neq \emptyset$. So the following lemma implies that $S(G)$ can only have one minimal left ideal.

Lemma 5. *A subset $T \subset G$ is thick if and only if the set of ultrafilters on G containing T contains a minimal left ideal of* $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$.

It means that all minimal left ideals of $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$ intersect, which can only happen if they are identical. That also implies that the minimal ideal of $S(G, \tau)$ is also the minimal left ideal. This is enough to prove Pestov's conjecture for countable groups.

Proposition 3. *Let G be a countable group. Then then there exists a right cancellable element p in the closure of the minimal ideal of* $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$.

Theorem 8. *Pestov's conjecture is true for all countable topological groups.*

Proof. Let (G, τ) be a countable topological group such that the points of $S(G, \tau)$ are separated by homomorphisms to $M(G, \tau)$. We know that $S(G, \tau)$ have only one left ideal, which is also the closure of the minimal (both-sided) ideal. Let p be a right cancellable element in the closure of the minimal ideal of $\mathrm{Ult}(G)$, which exists by the proposition above. Then p^* is a right cancellable element lying in $M(G, \tau)$ and so the right translation by p^* is the identity on $S(G, \tau)$ with image $M(G, \tau)$, showing that $S(G, \tau) = M(G, \tau)$. Consequently, (G, τ) is a precompact group. □

Question 2. *Let (G, τ) be a topological group such that its greatest ambit $S(G, \tau)$ has only one minimal left ideal. Is G precompact?*

Question 3. *Is the class of topological groups with the greatest ambit having only one minimal ideal closed under products, subgroups and homomorphic images?*

Question 4. *Is the class of topological groups such that the points of its greatest ambit are separated by homomorphisms into the universal minimal flow closed under products, subgroups and homomorphic images?*

References

[BF97] Bohuslav Balcar and Frantisek Franek. Structural properties of universal minimal dynamical systems for discrete semigroups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(5):1697–1724, 1997.

[dV93] J. de Vries. *Elements of topological dynamics*, volume 257 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993.

[Ell69] Robert Ellis. *Lectures on topological dynamics*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.

[Gla98] Eli Glasner. On minimal actions of Polish groups. *Topology Appl.*, 85(1-3):119–125, 1998. 8th Prague Topological Symposium on General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra (1996).

[HS98] Neil Hindman and Dona Strauss. *Algebra in the Stone-Čech compactification*, volume 27 of *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1998. Theory and applications.

[KA97] Mahmut Koçak and Zekeriya Arvasi. Near ultrafilters and compactification of topological groups. *Turkish J. Math.*, 21(2):213–225, 1997.

[Kat88] M. Katětov. On universal metric spaces. In *General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra, VI (Prague, 1986)*, volume 16 of *Res. Exp. Math.*, pages 323–330. Heldermann, Berlin, 1988.

[KPT05] A. S. Kechris, V. G. Pestov, and S. Todorčević. Fraïssé limits, Ramsey theory, and topological dynamics of automorphism groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 15(1):106–189, 2005.

[KS] Alexander S. Kechris and M. Sokic. Dynamical properties of the automorphism groups of the random poset and random distributive lattice. *To appear in Fund. Math.*

[LANVT08] J. Lopez-Abad and L. Nguyen Van Thé. The oscillation stability problem for the Urysohn sphere: a combinatorial approach. *Topology Appl.*, 155(14):1516–1530, 2008.

[Mel10] Julien Melleray. Topology of the isometry group of the Urysohn space. *Fund. Math.*, 207(3):273–287, 2010.

[Mit70] Theodore Mitchell. Topological semigroups and fixed points. *Illinois J. Math.*, 14:630–641, 1970.

[MP12] Brice R. Mbombo and Vladimir G. Pestov. Subgroups of isometries of Urysohn-Katětov metric spaces of uncountable density. *Topology Appl.*, 159(9):2490–2496, 2012.

[Neš07] Jaroslav Nešetřil. Metric spaces are Ramsey. *European J. Combin.*, 28(1):457–468, 2007.

[Pes98a] V. Pestov. Some universal constructions in abstract topological dynamics. In *Topological dynamics and applications (Minneapolis, MN, 1995)*, volume 215 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 83–99. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[Pes98b] Vladimir G. Pestov. On free actions, minimal flows, and a problem by Ellis. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(10):4149–4165, 1998.

[Pes02] Vladimir Pestov. Ramsey-Milman phenomenon, Urysohn metric spaces, and extremely amenable groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 127:317–357, 2002.

[Pes07] Vladimir Pestov. The isometry group of the Urysohn space as a Levý group. *Topology Appl.*, 154(10):2173–2184, 2007.

[Sam48] Pierre Samuel. Ultrafilters and compactification of uniform spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 64:100–132, 1948.

[Sie40] W. Sierpiński. Sur les espaces métriques universels. *Atti Accad. Sci. Torino. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat.*, 75:571–574, 1940.

[Sto36] M. H. Stone. The theory of representations for Boolean algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 40(1):37–111, 1936.

[Ury25] Paul Urysohn. Sur un espace métrique universel. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 180:803–806, 1925.

[Ury27] Paul Urysohn. Sur un espace mtrique universel. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 51:43–64 and 74–90, 1927.

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Bahen Center, 40 St. George St., Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5S 2E4
E-mail address: `dana.bartosova@utoronto.ca`